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National Ocean Policy: Executive Order 7.19.10

Protect, maintain and restore ecosystem health

Support sustainable uses

Preserve maritime heritage
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7.22.04
COORDINATION
National Ocean Council
Stakeholder Engagement
Regional Planning Areas
Large Marine Ecosystems

The NOC would work with the States and federally-recognized tribes, including Alaska Native Villages, to create regional planning bodies – coinciding with the regional planning areas – for the development of regional CMS Plans. The membership of each of the nine regional planning bodies would consist of Federal, State, and tribal authorities relevant to CMSP for that region (e.g., resource management, including coastal zone management and fisheries management, science, homeland and national security, transportation, and public health). Members would be of an appropriate level of responsibility within their respective governing body to be able to make decisions and commitments throughout the process. Each regional planning body would identify Federal and non-Federal co-leads. Appropriate State and tribal representation would be determined by applicable States and tribes, consistent with the types of representation described by the NOC per Section XVI of this Part. Regional planning bodies would develop a mechanism to engage other indigenous community representatives.

8 For purposes of this framework, “States” also include the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and American Samoa.

9 Each regional planning body would have one Federal co-lead, one State co-lead, and, as appropriate, one tribal co-lead. The co-leads would be responsible for guiding and facilitating the timely progress of the CMSP process, but would not have final decision-making authority.
Regional Ocean Partnerships Formed 2004-2010
Final Recommendations Of The Interagency Ocean Policy Task Force
July 19, 2010
Regional Ocean Partnerships Formed Since 2010
EXECUTIVE ORDER

STEWARDSHIP OF THE OCEAN, OUR COASTS, AND THE GREAT LAKES

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, it is hereby ordered as follows:
Smart Ocean Planning

SCIENCE-BASED

- Conservation
- Energy Uses
- Shipping
- Recreation
- Offshore Aquaculture
Proactive Planning to Reduce Conflicts

Use-Use Conflicts

Use-Environment Conflicts
Planning to **Evaluate** Cumulative Impacts
Adaptive Planning

New technology

Changing conditions
Funding for CMSP

FY 2011: $7.0 million
FY 2012: $3.5 million
FY 2013: $4.0 million
“My dad asked me if I was going to follow the president's advice, and I told him it sounded like an unfunded mandate.”
Funding for CMSP

FY 2011 $6.2 million
FY 2012 $3.1 million
FY 2013 $3.2 million
Funding/Region

2011: $6.2 mil

Alaska: $0.76
West Coast: $0.25
Pacific Islands: $0.25
Northeast: $1.5
Mid-Atlantic: $1.6
Southeast: $1.1
Gulf of Mexico: $0.25
Funding/Region

2012: $3.1 mill

- Alaska: $0
- Pacific Islands: $0.25
- West Coast: $0.85
- Great Lakes: $0.27
- Northeast: $0.62
- Mid-Atlantic: $0.44
- Southeast: $0.27
- Gulf of Mexico: $0.22
Funding/Region

2013: $3.2 mill
Regional Plans Started

Northeast 2012
Mid-Atlantic 2013
Regional Plans Started

- State Plans completed
- State Plans underway

Northeast 2012
Mid-Atlantic 2013
Appropriations
Stakeholder Fly-in
Northeast Data Portal
http://northeastoceanviewer.org
NROC Data Portal
+ Wind Energy Lease Sites
NRO Data Portal
+ Recreational boating activity
NROCNROC Data Portal
+ Recreational boating activity
MARCO Data Portal

portal.midatlanticocean.org
MARCO Data Portal
Cargo Vessels
MARCO Data Portal

+ Tug Tow Vessels

Sources: Esri, GEBCO, NOAA, National Geographic, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, Geonames.org, and others.
MARCO Data Portal

+ Submarine Cables
MARCO Data Portal
+ Wind Lease Areas
MARCO Data Portal
+ Cold Water Corals
Opposition
Doc. Hastings (R) WA

House Natural Resource Committee Hearings

The President's New National Ocean Policy - A Plan for Further Restrictions on Ocean, Coastal and Inland Activities

Alaska's Sovereignty In Peril: The National Ocean Policy's Goal to Federalize Alaska

Empty Hooks: The National Ocean Policy is the Latest Threat to Access for Recreational and Commercial Fishermen
Funding

“prohibit the use of funds to be used for the National Ocean Policy,”
Opposition

Rep. Flores (R-TX)

Anti National Ocean Policy Riders

7.19.11 Interior Appropriations
5.09.12 CJS Appropriations
7.10.12 Interior Appropriations
1.15.13 Sandy Relief Appropriations
6.27.13 Energy Package
7.10.13 Energy and Water Develop Appropriations
7.10.13 Farm Bill
10.23.13 WRRDA
Funding for CMSP

- FY 2011 $6.2 million
- FY 2012 $3.1 million
- FY 2013 $3.2 million
- FY 2014 $0.0 million
Opposition
Rep. Flores (R-TX)

Anti National Ocean Policy Riders

7.19.11 Interior Appropriations
5.09.12 CJS Appropriations
7.10.12 Interior Appropriations
1.15.13 Sandy Relief Appropriations
6.27.13 Energy Package
7.10.13 Energy and Water Develop Appropriations
7.10.13 Farm Bill
10.23.13 WRRDA
Water Resources Development Act
WRDA
Long-Term dedicated Ocean Funding
National Endowment for the Oceans
CAUTION
ANTI-OBAMA CONGRESS AT PLAY
2008-2012
National Ocean Policy Implementation Plan (4.13)

Voluntary Regional Planning
National Ocean Policy Implementation Plan (4.13)

Plan Not Regulation
Opposition to the National Ocean Policy and CMSP
Opposition to the National Ocean Policy and CMSP

Conservation Access
2855. The commission shall adopt a master plan that guides the adoption and implementation of the Marine Life Protection Program adopted pursuant to Section 2853 and decisions regarding the siting of new MPAs and major modifications of existing MPAs.
Will Regional Ocean Planning Limit Rec. Fishing Access?

State MSP Plans Completed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Area closed to recreational fishing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2012 NORTHEAST RECREATIONAL BOATER SURVEY

Project Summary
Activity

Northeast

Recreational fishing locations
Impact on Northeast Economy

$3.5 Billion

- ME: $205 M
- NH: $69 M
- MA: $840 M
- RI: $227 M
- CT: $554 M
- NY: $1.4 Bn
- Inter-state: $185 M

Impact on Northeast Economy
CMSP: Solving Regional Problems
The Need for Sand

More Erosion

Sea Scallop Fishery

More Sand Mining

Sand Eels
‘Sand wars’ come to New England coast

As weather worsens, New England’s sea levels are rising fast — as are the stakes

By Beth Daley | NEW ENGLAND CENTER FOR INVESTIGATIVE REPORTING | DECEMBER 15, 2013
CMSP: Solving **Regional Problems**

**Changes in Maritime Sector**

- **Panama Canal Expansion**
- **Whale Strikes**
- **Short Sea Shipping**
- **Recreational Fishing “Hot-Spots”**
Examples of Benefits
Implementation RI CMSP
Avoiding Pile Driving When Right Whales Present

Winter

Spring

Whale presence (modeled)

Site of proposed wind farm
Benefits
CMSP
Benefits
CMSP